

Decision Making Under Severe Uncertainty: Solutions to Exercises

A Very Simple Example

Example from lecture:

	<i>increase</i>	<i>stay</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>increase</i>			0.5	0.8		
<i>stay</i>			0.5	0.2		
<i>machinery</i>	440	260	350	404	350	404
<i>overtime</i>	420	300	360	396	360	396
<i>nothing</i>	370	370	370	370	370	370
<i>overtime - machinery</i>			+	-	-	
<i>nothing - machinery</i>			+	-	-	
<i>machinery - overtime</i>			-	+	-	
<i>nothing - overtime</i>			+	-	-	
<i>machinery - nothing</i>			-	+	-	
<i>overtime - nothing</i>			-	+	-	

	<i>machinery</i>	<i>overtime</i>	<i>nothing</i>
<i>- machinery</i>	0	-	-
<i>- overtime</i>	-	0	-
<i>- nothing</i>	-	-	0

- Gamma-maximin: {nothing}
- Gamma-maximax: {machinery}
- interval dominance: {machinery, overtime, nothing}
- maximality: {machinery, overtime, nothing}

After market research:

	<i>increase</i>	<i>stay</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>increase</i>			0.6	0.65		
<i>stay</i>			0.4	0.35		
<i>machinery</i>	440	260	368	377	368	377
<i>overtime</i>	420	300	372	378	372	378
<i>nothing</i>	370	370	370	370	370	370
<i>overtime - machinery</i>			+	+	+	
<i>nothing - machinery</i>			+	-	-	
<i>machinery - overtime</i>			-	-	-	
<i>nothing - overtime</i>			-	-	-	

<i>machinery - nothing</i>		-	+	-	
<i>overtime - nothing</i>		+	+	+	
	<i>machinery</i>		<i>overtime</i>		<i>nothing</i>
- <i>machinery</i>	0		+		-
- <i>overtime</i>	-		0		-
- <i>nothing</i>	-		+		0

- Gamma-maximin: {overtime}
- Gamma-maximax: {overtime}
- interval dominance: {machinery, overtime}
- maximality: {overtime}

Saving Zion

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>A</i>				0.1	0.4	0.3		
<i>B</i>				0.45	0.3	0.2		
<i>C</i>				0.45	0.3	0.5		
<i>left</i>	-10	-5	10	1.25	-2.5	1	-2.5	1.25
<i>right</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>right - left</i>				-	+	0	-	
<i>left - right</i>				+	-	0	-	

	<i>left</i>	<i>right</i>
- <i>left</i>	0	-
- <i>right</i>	-	0

- Gamma-maximin: {right}
- Gamma-maximax: {left}
- interval dominance: {left, right}
- maximality: {left, right}

Risky Investment

	<i>improve</i>	<i>remain</i>	<i>worsen</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>improve</i>				0	0.3		
<i>remain</i>				0.6	0.3		
<i>worsen</i>				0.4	0.4		
<i>d1</i>	100	50	-25	20	35	20	35
<i>d2</i>	75	50	0	30	37.5	30	37.5

$d3$	60	55	10	37	38.5	37	38.5
$d4$	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
$d2 - d1$				+	+	+	
$d3 - d1$				+	+	+	
$d4 - d1$				+	0	0	
$d1 - d2$				-	-	-	
$d3 - d2$				+	+	+	
$d4 - d2$				+	-	-	
$d1 - d3$				-	-	-	
$d2 - d3$				-	-	-	
$d4 - d3$				-	-	-	
$d1 - d4$				-	0	-	
$d2 - d4$				-	+	-	
$d3 - d4$				+	+	+	

	$d1$	$d2$	$d3$	$d4$
$-d1$	0	+	+	0
$-d2$	-	0	+	-
$-d3$	-	-	0	-
$-d4$	-	-	+	0

- Gamma-maximin: {3}
- Gamma-maximax: {3}
- interval dominance: {2, 3}
- maximality: {3}

Solution to Exercise 5:

- $c < 37$
 - Gamma-maximin: {3}
 - Gamma-maximax: {3}
 - interval dominance: {2, 3}
 - maximality: {3}
- $c = 37$
 - Gamma-maximin: {3, 4}
 - Gamma-maximax: {3}
 - interval dominance: {2, 3, 4}
 - maximality: {3, 4}
- $37 < c < 37.5$
 - Gamma-maximin: {4}

- Gamma-maximax: {3}
- interval dominance: {2, 3, 4}
- maximality: {3, 4}
- $37.5 < c < 38.5$
 - Gamma-maximin: {4}
 - Gamma-maximax: {3}
 - interval dominance: {3, 4}
 - maximality: {3, 4}
- $38.5 < c$
 - Gamma-maximin: {4}
 - Gamma-maximax: {4}
 - interval dominance: {4}
 - maximality: {4}

Oil Wildcatter

Normal Form Backward Induction

T1 Branch

	<i>S1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>S1</i>				0.531	0.667	0.817		
<i>S2</i>				0.408	0.222	0.122		
<i>S3</i>				0.061	0.111	0.061		
<i>d1</i>	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
<i>d2</i>	-8	4	19	-1.457	-2.339	-4.889	-4.889	-1.457
<i>d2 - d1</i>				-	-	-	-	
<i>d1 - d2</i>				+	+	+	+	

	<i>d1</i>	<i>d2</i>
<i>- d1</i>	0	-
<i>- d2</i>	+	0

So {d1} is maximal in the T1 branch.

T2 Branch

	<i>S1</i>	<i>S2</i>	<i>S3</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>S1</i>				0.065	0.125	0.5		
<i>S2</i>				0.87	0.75	0.5		
<i>S3</i>				0.065	0.125	0		

$d1 - d2$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	$d1$		$d2$						
- $d1$	0		+						
- $d2$	-		0						

So $\{d2\}$ is maximal in the dTc branch.

dT Branch

	$T1S1$	$T1S2$	$T1S3$	$T2S1$	$T2S2$	$T2S3$	$T3S1$	$T3S2$	$T3S3$	$p0$	$p1$	$p2$	$p3$	$p4$	$p5$	lpr	upr
$T1S1$										0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.4	0.26		
$T1S2$										0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.2	
$T1S3$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	
$T2S1$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.23	0.03	0.03		
$T2S2$										0.18	0.18	0.4	0.23	0.18	0.18		
$T2S3$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	0.03		
$T3S1$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	
$T3S2$										0.06	0.2	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06		
$T3S3$										0.4	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18		
$s1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-8	4	19	7.09	4.99	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	7.09
$s2$	-1	-1	-1	-8	4	19	-8	4	19	8.38	6.28	5.08	2.23	3.98	3.98	2.23	8.38
$s2 - s1$										+	+	+	-	+	+	-	
$s1 - s2$										-	-	-	+	-	-	-	

	$s1$	$s2$
- $s1$	0	-
- $s2$	-	0

So both strategies $\{s1, s2\}$ are maximal in the dT branch.

Root node:

	$T1S1$	$T1S2$	$T1S3$	$T2S1$	$T2S2$	$T2S3$	$T3S1$	$T3S2$	$T3S3$	$p0$	$p1$	$p2$	$p3$	$p4$	$p5$	lpr	upr
$T1S1$										0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.4	0.26		
$T1S2$										0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.2	
$T1S3$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	
$T2S1$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.23	0.03	0.03		
$T2S2$										0.18	0.18	0.4	0.23	0.18	0.18		
$T2S3$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	0.03		
$T3S1$										0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	
$T3S2$										0.06	0.2	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06		
$T3S3$										0.4	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18		

$s1$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-8	4	19	7.09	4.99	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	7.09
$s2$	-1	-1	-1	-8	4	19	-8	4	19	8.38	6.28	5.08	2.23	3.98	3.98	2.23	8.38	
$d2$	-7	5	20	-7	5	20	-7	5	20	9.02	6.92	5.72	2.87	3.08	4.76	2.87	9.02	
$s2 - s1$										+	+	+	-	+	+	-		
$d2 - s1$										+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
$s1 - s2$										-	-	-	+	-	-	-		
$d2 - s2$										+	+	+	+	-	+	-		
$s1 - d2$										-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
$s2 - d2$										-	-	-	-	+	-	-		

	$s1$	$s2$	$d2$
$-s1$	0	-	+
$-s2$	-	0	-
$-d2$	-	-	0

So $\{s2, d2\}$ is maximal.

Toy Example

Note, for brevity, the tables below write D1 for delta1 and D2 for delta2.

Normal Form

	$SIE1$	$SIE2$	$S2$	$p0$	$p1$	lpr	upr
$SIE1$				0.2	0.1		
$SIE2$				0.3	0.4		
$S2$				0.5	0.5		
$dI(S1 D1)$	1	4	2	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.7
$dI(S1 D2)$	2.5	2.5	2	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25
$d2$	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
$dI(S1 D2) - dI(S1 D1)$				-	-	-	
$d2 - dI(S1 D1)$				-	-	-	
$dI(S1 D1) - dI(S1 D2)$				+	+	+	
$d2 - dI(S1 D2)$				+	+	+	
$dI(S1 D1) - d2$				+	+	+	
$dI(S1 D2) - d2$				-	-	-	

	$dI(S1 D1)$	$dI(S1 D2)$	$d2$
$-dI(S1 D1)$	0	-	-
$-dI(S1 D2)$	+	0	+
$-d2$	+	-	0

So $\{d1(S1 \text{ delta}1)\}$ is maximal.

Normal Form Backward Induction

S1 Branch

	E1	E2	p0	p1	lpr	upr
E1			0.4	0.2		
E2			0.6	0.8		
D1	1	4	2.8	3.4	2.8	3.4
D2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
D2 - D1			-	-	-	
D1 - D2			+	+	+	

	D1	D2
- D1	0	-
- D2	+	0

So $\{\delta_1\}$ is maximal.

Root Node

	S1E1	S1E2	S2	p0	p1	lpr	upr
S1E1				0.2	0.1		
S1E2				0.3	0.4		
S2				0.5	0.5		
d1(S1 D1)	1	4	2	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.7
d2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
d2 - d1(S1 D1)				-	-	-	
d1(S1 D1) - d2				+	+	+	

	d1(S1 D1)	d2
- d1(S1 D1)	0	-
- d2	+	0

So $\{d1(S1 \delta_1)\}$ is maximal.

Extra Question

d2 becomes uniquely maximal if it is assigned a value strictly larger than 2.7.

Lake District

Normal Form Backward Induction

S1 Branch

	<i>E1</i>	<i>E2</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>E1</i>			0.591	0.7	0.747		
<i>E2</i>			0.409	0.3	0.253		
<i>d1</i>	9	14	11.045	10.5	10.265	10.265	11.045
<i>d2</i>	4	19	10.135	8.5	7.795	7.795	10.135
<i>d2 - d1</i>			-	-	-	-	
<i>d1 - d2</i>			+	+	+	+	

	<i>d1</i>	<i>d2</i>
- <i>d1</i>	0	-
- <i>d2</i>	+	0

So {d1} is maximal in the S1 branch.

S2 Branch

	<i>E1</i>	<i>E2</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>E1</i>			0.157	0.2	0.374		
<i>E2</i>			0.843	0.8	0.626		
<i>d1</i>	9	14	13.215	13	12.13	12.13	13.215
<i>d2</i>	4	19	16.645	16	13.39	13.39	16.645
<i>d2 - d1</i>			+	+	+	+	
<i>d1 - d2</i>			-	-	-	-	

	<i>d1</i>	<i>d2</i>
- <i>d1</i>	0	+
- <i>d2</i>	-	0

So {d2} is maximal in the S1 branch.

***dSc* Branch**

	<i>S1E1</i>	<i>S1E2</i>	<i>S2E1</i>	<i>S2E2</i>	<i>p0</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>p3</i>	<i>lpr</i>	<i>upr</i>
<i>S1E1</i>					0.378	0.378	0.378	0.478		
<i>S1E2</i>					0.162	0.162	0.262	0.162		
<i>S2E1</i>					0.072	0.172	0.072	0.072		
<i>S2E2</i>					0.388	0.288	0.288	0.288		
<i>d1</i>	10	15	10	15	12.75	12.25	12.75	12.25	12.25	12.75
<i>d2</i>	5	20	5	20	13.25	11.75	13.25	11.75	11.75	13.25
<i>d2 - d1</i>					+	-	+	-	-	
<i>d1 - d2</i>					-	+	-	+	-	

	<i>d1</i>	<i>d2</i>

- d1	0	-
- d2	-	0

So both options {d1,d2} are maximal in the dSc branch.

dS Branch

The solution is trivial, as there is only a single gamble: 9, 14, 4, 19.

Root node

	S1E1	S1E2	S2E1	S2E2	p0	p1	p2	p3	lpr	upr
S1E1					0.378	0.378	0.378	0.478		
S1E2					0.162	0.162	0.262	0.162		
S2E1					0.072	0.172	0.072	0.072		
S2E2					0.388	0.288	0.288	0.288		
s1	9	14	4	19	13.33	11.83	12.83	12.33	11.83	13.33
d1	10	15	10	15	12.75	12.25	12.75	12.25	12.25	12.75
d2	5	20	5	20	13.25	11.75	13.25	11.75	11.75	13.25
d1 - s1					-	+	-	-	-	
d2 - s1					-	-	+	-	-	
s1 - d1					+	-	+	+	-	
d2 - d1					+	-	+	-	-	
s1 - d2					+	+	-	+	-	
d1 - d2					-	+	-	+	-	

	s1	d1	d2
- s1	0	-	-
- d1	-	0	-
- d2	-	-	0

So all three remaining normal form decisions {s1,d1,d2} are maximal.

Value of Information

A simple check reveals that s1 is no longer optimal as soon as c exceeds 1.58 (this would increase the lower prevision of d1 - s1 by 0.58, which is just enough to make it zero).